- Then



Docket No.: 250934US3

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/807,301

Applicants: Hiroshi KUSHINO, et al.

Filing Date: March 24, 2004

For: COMBINATION OF DRIVE POWER

TRANSMISSION DEVICE AND DIFFERENTIAL

GEAR AND MANUFACTURING METHOD

THEREFOR

Group Art Unit: 3681 Examiner: LEWIS, T.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESTRICTION RESPONSE

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax)

I:\cfdav\restrictionsandelections\250934.cvr.

Robert T. Pous

Registration No. 29,099

1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 U.S.A.
TELEPHONE: 703-413-3000 FACSIMILE: 703-413-2220 www.oblon.com

OBLON

SPIVAK

McClelland

MAIER

NEUSTADT

P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER (703) 413-3000 GMAIER@OBLON.COM

ROBERT T. POUS (703) 413-3000 RPOUS@OBLON.COM PEDOCKET NO: 250934US3

NOV 0 4 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

RE APPLICATION OF

HIROSHI KUSHINO, ET AL. : EXAMINER: LEWIS, T.

SERIAL NO: 10/807,301

FILED: MARCH 24, 2004 : GROUP ART UNIT: 3681

FOR: COMBINATION OF DRIVE POWER TRANSMISSION DEVICE AND DIFFERENTIAL GEAR AND MANUFACTURING METHOD THEREFOR

RESTRICTION RESPONSE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated October 4, 2005, Applicants herein elect group I corresponding to claims 1 and 3, drawn to housing receiving clutch, classified in class 74, subclass 606r with traverse for prosecution in the present application.

Applicants traverse the outstanding Restriction Requirement as the outstanding Restriction Requirement has not established that an undue burden would be required if the Restriction Requirement was not issued and if all the claims were examined together. More particularly, MPEP §803 states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions. Àpplication No. 10/807,301
Reply to Office Action of October 4, 2005

In the present application any search of the elected claims would also include the classes and subclasses appropriate for searching the non-elected claims, and so then would be no undue burden if all of the claims were examined together.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier Attorney of Record Registration No. 25,599

Robert T. Pous Registration No. 29,099

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Customer Number} \\ 22850 \end{array}$

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04)

I:\CFDAV\ELECTIONSANDRESTRICTIONS\250934.REST